

Post-Newtonian constraints on $f(R)$ cosmologies in Palatini formalism

Gonzalo J. Olmo*

Departamento de Física Teórica and IFIC, Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia-CSIC

Facultad de Física, Universidad de Valencia, Burjassot-46100, Valencia, Spain

Physics Department, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI 53201, USA

(Dated: May 26th, 2005)

We compute the complete post-Newtonian limit of the Palatini form of $f(R)$ gravities using a scalar-tensor representation. By comparing the predictions of these theories with laboratory and solar system experiments, we find a set of inequalities that any lagrangian $f(R)$ must satisfy. The constraints imposed by those inequalities allow us to find explicit functions that bound from above and from below the possible nonlinear terms of the lagrangian. We conclude that the lagrangian $f(R)$ must be almost linear in R and that nonlinear corrections that grow at low curvatures are incompatible with observations. This result shows that modifications of gravity at very low cosmic densities cannot be responsible for the observed cosmic speed-up.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Es, 04.50.+h, 04.25.Nx

I. INTRODUCTION

The physical mechanism that drives the recently observed accelerated expansion rate of the universe [1, 2] is yet unclear. Among other possibilities, it has been suggested that it could be due to modifications of the gravitational interaction at very low cosmic curvatures [3, 4] (see also [5]). Since the addition of positive powers of the scalar curvature to the Hilbert-Einstein lagrangian may lead to early-time inflation, it is tempting to introduce corrections that grow at low curvatures to see if the resulting cosmological models can in some way justify the current observations. The theories constructed in this way and, with more generality, all theories where the gravity lagrangian is an arbitrary function of the scalar curvature, are known as $f(R)$ gravities. They were originally formulated within the standard metric formalism and then exported to the Palatini formalism, where metric and connection are seen as independent fields. In this work we will study the Palatini formulation of such theories. The metric formalism will be considered elsewhere [6].

It has been shown that in the Palatini formalism many different $f(R)$ lagrangians may lead to late-time cosmic acceleration [4, 7, 8]. This is true even in cases in which the function $f(R)$ is completely different from the Hilbert-Einstein lagrangian, i.e., when $f(R)$ is not just a perturbation of the linear lagrangian. Though much work has been carried out recently to understand the cosmological aspects of these theories, very little is known about their properties in other regimes. The solar system, where the observational data are extensive and very precise, represents a more suitable and cleaner scenario for testing different aspects of the gravitational interaction than the cosmological

regime, where much “dirty” astrophysics and uncertain data may be involved. In fact, if in addition to a modified gravitational dynamics, sources of dark energy were acting in the cosmic expansion, it would be very difficult to distinguish one effect from the other. In the solar system, on the contrary, the matter sources are well known and, therefore, the gravitational dynamics manifests in its own, with negligible “dark” interferences.

This work is thus aimed to analyze in detail the predictions of the Palatini form of $f(R)$ gravities in the weak-field, slow-motion or post-Newtonian regime. The Newtonian limit of these theories has been studied previously in [9], for the particular lagrangian $f(R) = R + \omega_0 R^2$, and more recently in [10] and [11], where a general $f(R)$ lagrangian was considered. Our work will try to go a step further obtaining the complete post-Newtonian metric and placing explicit constraints on the form of the lagrangian. In the computation of the post-Newtonian metric, we will use a scalar-tensor representation of these theories. Rather than an unnecessary complication or a mathematical trick, the scalar-tensor form will be very useful in the interpretation of the equations of motion and the identification of the matter and the geometrical terms. This identification is necessary in order to carry out correctly the post-Newtonian expansion, as we will point out at due time.

The paper is organized as follows. We first derive the equations of motion and show how to obtain the scalar-tensor representation out of them. Then we point out some details about the post-Newtonian expansion, compute the lowest-order corrections of the metric and discuss the results (the complete post-Newtonian metric is given in the Appendix). Eventually, we will study the constraints on the lagrangian $f(R)$ that follow from laboratory and solar system observational data and will comment on their consequences in the cosmic regime. We end the paper with a brief summary and conclusions.

*Electronic address: gonzalo.olmo@uv.es

II. EQUATIONS OF MOTION

The action that defines $f(R)$ gravities in the Palatini formalism is the following

$$S[f; g, \Gamma, \psi_m] = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} f(R) + S_m[g_{\mu\nu}, \psi_m] \quad (1)$$

where $S_m[g_{\mu\nu}, \psi_m]$ represents the matter action, which depends on the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the matter fields ψ_m . The scalar R is defined as the contraction $R = g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma)$, where $R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma)$ has the form of the Ricci tensor

$$R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma) = -\partial_\mu \Gamma_{\lambda\nu}^\lambda + \partial_\lambda \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \Gamma_{\rho\lambda}^\rho - \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\lambda \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\rho \quad (2)$$

and $\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$ is an affine connection independent of $g_{\mu\nu}$. Varying eq.(1) with respect to the metric we obtain

$$f'(R) R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma) - \frac{1}{2} f(R) g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa^2 T_{\mu\nu} \quad (3)$$

where $f'(R) \equiv df/dR$. Note that the trace of this equation

$$f'(R) R - 2f(R) = \kappa^2 T \quad (4)$$

implies an algebraic relation between R and T more involved than that existing in General Relativity (GR), say, $R = \kappa^2 T$. We will denote by $R(T)$ the algebraic solution to eq.(4).

The variation of the action with respect to the connection gives

$$\nabla_\lambda \left[\sqrt{-g} \left(\delta_\alpha^\lambda f' g^{\beta\gamma} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_\alpha^\beta f' g^{\lambda\gamma} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_\alpha^\gamma f' g^{\beta\lambda} \right) \right] = 0 \quad (5)$$

where $f' = f'(R(T))$ is also an algebraic function of the matter terms. Using an auxiliary tensor $t_{\mu\nu} = f' g_{\mu\nu}$, eq.(5) can be readily solved [12]. The solution states the compatibility between the connection $\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$ and the metric $t_{\mu\nu}$. In other words, $\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$ can be written as the Levi-Civita connection of $t_{\mu\nu}$

$$\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = \frac{t^{\alpha\lambda}}{2} (\partial_\beta t_{\lambda\gamma} + \partial_\gamma t_{\lambda\beta} - \partial_\lambda t_{\beta\gamma}) \quad (6)$$

Inserting this solution for $\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$, written in terms of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and f' , in eq.(3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu}(g) - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R(g) &= \frac{\kappa^2}{f'} T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{R(T) f' - f}{2 f'} g_{\mu\nu} - \\ &- \frac{3}{2(f')^2} \left[\partial_\mu f' \partial_\nu f' - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} (\partial f')^2 \right] + \\ &+ \frac{1}{f'} [\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu f' - g_{\mu\nu} \square f'] \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $R_{\mu\nu}(g)$ and $R(g)$ are computed in terms of the Levi-Civita connection of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, i.e., they represent the usual Ricci tensor and scalar curvature. To

make our notation clearer, since $t_{\mu\nu}$ and $g_{\mu\nu}$ are conformally related, it follows that $R(T) = g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma)$ and $R(g) = g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}(g)$ are related by

$$R(T) = R(g) + \frac{3}{2f'} \partial_\lambda f' \partial^\lambda f' - \frac{3}{f'} \square f' \quad (8)$$

where, recall, $f' = f'(R(T))$ is a function of T .

Introducing the following definitions

$$\phi \equiv f' \quad (9)$$

$$V(\phi) \equiv R(\phi) f' - f(\phi) \quad (10)$$

where we have algebraically inverted $f'(R)$ to obtain $R = R(f')$, we can write eq.(7) in the scalar-tensor form

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mu\nu}(g) &= \frac{\kappa^2}{\phi} T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{V}{2\phi} g_{\mu\nu} - \\ &- \frac{3}{2\phi^2} \left(\partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} (\nabla \phi)^2 \right) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{\phi} (\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi - g_{\mu\nu} \square \phi) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The scalar field ϕ satisfies eq.(4), which in the new notation turns into

$$2V - \phi V' = \kappa^2 T \quad (12)$$

where $V' \equiv dV/d\phi$. This representation of the theory turns out to be equivalent to an $\omega = -3/2$ Brans-Dicke-like scalar-tensor theory. For more details on this scalar-tensor representation and a different derivation of this result see [13].

According to eq.(12), the scalar field can be algebraically solved as $\phi = \phi(T)$, i.e., it is a non-dynamical field. Thus, the effect of the nonlinear lagrangian in the Palatini formalism is rather different from its effect in the metric formalism [6]. In the metric case, the compatibility between metric and connection gives rise to additional degrees of freedom in the theory, which can be encoded in a dynamical scalar field. In the Palatini formalism, however, the independent connection keeps the order of the equations of motion and modifies the way matter generates space-time curvature. In other words, the right hand side of eq.(11) represents a generalized energy-momentum tensor of matter in which the trace T plays an enhanced role by means of the terms $\phi = \phi(T)$ and its derivatives.

III. POST-NEWTONIAN METRIC

The complete post-Newtonian limit needs the different components of the metric evaluated to the following orders $g_{00} \sim O(2) + O(4)$, $g_{0j} \sim O(3)$, $g_{ij} \sim O(2)$ (see [14]). For convenience, we will discuss here only the lowest-order corrections, $g_{00} \sim O(2)$, $g_{ij} \sim O(2)$, which

will be enough to place important constraints on the gravity lagrangian. The details of the calculations can be found in the Appendix. In our calculations, we will use coordinates in which the outer regions of the local system are in free fall with respect to the surrounding cosmological model. This guarantees that the local metric can be made Minkowskian at a given distance far from the system and fixes all the boundary conditions necessary for this problem. Thus, we will compute the metric as a perturbation around the Minkowski background, i.e., $g_{\mu\nu} \approx \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$. Since the scalar field is non-dynamical, i.e., it is determined by the local matter distribution, no boundary conditions need to be set for it. On the other hand, once the solution $\phi = \phi(T)$ is obtained, it could be expanded to different orders of approximation in the post-Newtonian expansion using the fact that for a perfect fluid $T = -\rho(1 + \Pi - 3P/\rho) \approx -\rho + \rho O(v^2/c^2)$, where ρ is the rest-mass density, Π is the specific energy density (ratio of energy density to rest-mass energy), and P is the pressure (see chapter 4 of [14]). In this way one would obtain an expansion of the form $\phi(T) \approx \phi(-\rho) + \partial_T \phi|_{-\rho} O(v^2/c^2) + \dots$. However, this is an unnecessary complication of our notation and, therefore, we will keep $\phi(T)$ exact in our calculations. Note that this expansion in post-Newtonian orders is different from an expansion around the vacuum $\phi(T) \approx \phi(0) + \partial_T \phi(0)T + \dots$ such as the one apparently considered in [10] and [11] using the original $f(R)$ representation. In their calculations they expanded the function $f(R)$ around a de Sitter background characterized by a constant curvature R_0 . The fact that in the Palatini approach $R = R(T)$ (do not confuse $R(T)$ with $R(g)$), implies that $R_0 = R(T = 0)$. Thus, an expansion of $f(R)$ around R_0 actually represents an expansion around $T \approx 0$, which is an expansion around the vacuum, not an expansion in post-Newtonian orders. Since the functional dependence of $f(R)$ with T is a priori unknown, there is no guarantee that such expansion around the vacuum can be valid in the range from $T = 0$ up to the typical densities inside planets, stars or laboratory-size bodies. In fact, the weak-field slow-motion limit does not require low densities but not too high matter concentrations and low matter velocities, $v^2/c^2 \ll 1$. Thus, the conclusions regarding the Newtonian limit obtained in [10] and [11] could not be valid. This point will be clarified below in detail. In our description in terms of a scalar field, $\phi(T) \equiv f'[R(T)]$, the role of the $\phi(T)$ terms is clear from the very beginning: they represent new contributions of the matter sources to the equations of motion. In consequence, they must be treated as matter terms and expanded in post-Newtonian orders, not around the vacuum.

A. Second-order corrections

For convenience, we introduce a dimensionless quantity $\tilde{\phi} = \phi/\phi_0$, where $\phi_0 \equiv \phi(0)$ is the vacuum reference value, and define $\Omega(T) \equiv \log(\tilde{\phi})$. In order to get h_{ij} diagonal and to respect the perturbative description, we find that $\Omega(T)$ must be seen, at least, of order $O(v^2)$. We will indicate with a superindex the order of approximation of each quantity when necessary. To second order, the metric satisfies the equations

$$-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 \left[h_{00}^{(2)} - \Omega^{(2)} \right] = \frac{\kappa^2 \rho - V(\phi)}{2\phi} \quad (13)$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 \left[h_{ij}^{(2)} + \delta_{ij} \Omega^{(2)} \right] = \left[\frac{\kappa^2 \rho + V(\phi)}{2\phi} \right] \delta_{ij} \quad (14)$$

where we have used the gauge condition¹ $h_{\kappa,\mu}^\mu - \frac{1}{2}h_{\mu,\kappa}^\mu = \partial_\kappa \Omega$. These equations admit the following solutions

$$h_{00}^{(2)}(t, x) = \frac{\kappa^2}{4\pi\phi_0} \int d^3x' \frac{[\rho(t, x') - V(\phi)/\kappa^2]}{\tilde{\phi}|x - x'|} + \Omega^{(2)} \quad (15)$$

$$h_{ij}^{(2)}(t, x) = \left[\frac{\kappa^2}{4\pi\phi_0} \int d^3x' \frac{[\rho(t, x') + V(\phi)/\kappa^2]}{\tilde{\phi}|x - x'|} - \Omega^{(2)} \right] \delta_{ij} \quad (16)$$

In these equations, the local term $\Omega^{(2)} = \log[\tilde{\phi}(-\rho)]$ represents a new effect that is not present in the general Brans-Dicke-like case $\omega \neq -3/2$ (see [6]). The contribution due to Ω is identically zero only if $f(R)$ is linear (GR and GR plus cosmological constant) and, therefore, its presence would imply the nonlinearity of the gravity lagrangian. It is worth noting that rather than an integrated quantity (cumulative effect), it is directly related to the local matter density. In consequence, an isolated body will contribute to the exterior space-time metric by means of the integral terms of eqs.(15) and (16) only. If we now put an object in orbit around the first one, the metric at the position of this new body will be modified by the local term Ω and by the self-gravity of the body. For the moment, we will concentrate on the integral terms of eqs.(15) and (16) (isolated body).

Assuming that the main contribution to the metric in the solar system is due to the sun, we can express eqs.(15) and (16) outside the sun as follows

$$h_{00}^{(2)}(t, x) = 2G \frac{M_\odot}{r} - \frac{V_0}{\phi_0} \frac{r^2}{6} \quad (17)$$

$$h_{ij}^{(2)}(t, x) = \left[2\gamma G \frac{M_\odot}{r} + \frac{V_0}{\phi_0} \frac{r^2}{6} \right] \delta_{ij} \quad (18)$$

¹ This condition was already used in [9], and also in [11]. In their notation, $-\partial_\kappa \Omega = b_\kappa$.

In these expressions, G and γ are defined as

$$G = \frac{\kappa^2}{8\pi\phi_0} \left(1 + \frac{M_V}{M_\odot} \right) \quad (19)$$

$$\gamma = \frac{M_\odot - M_V}{M_\odot + M_V} \quad (20)$$

where $M_\odot \equiv \int d^3x' \rho(t, x')/\tilde{\phi}$, $M_V \equiv \kappa^{-2} \int d^3x' [V_0 - V(\phi)/\tilde{\phi}]$ and $V_0 = V(\phi_0)$. Since the cosmological constant term V_0/ϕ_0 must be negligible in solar system scales in order not to affect the local dynamics, we find a constraint on the function $f(R)$. We need to note that the value ϕ_0 is solution of eq.(12) with $T = 0$. Using that equation and the definition of $V(\phi)$ in terms of $f(R)$, it follows that $V_0 = f(R_0)$, where R_0 is solution of eq.(4) outside the sun, i.e., $R_0 = R(T = 0)$. From these considerations it follows that

$$\left| \frac{f(R_0)}{f'(R_0)} \right| L_L^2 \ll 1 \quad (21)$$

where L_L represents a (Large) length scale the same order or greater than the solar system and R_0 presumably is of order the cosmological constant $\Lambda \sim 10^{-53} \text{m}^{-2}$.

Let us consider now the observational constraints on G and γ . It is well known that in dynamical scalar-tensor theories the effective G and γ depend on two cosmic parameters, say, the state of the field, ϕ_0 , and the range m_ϕ^{-1} of its interaction, which are the same for all bodies [6, 14, 15]. In the non-dynamical situation discussed here, G and γ are not universal quantities, i.e., they are not the same for all bodies. According to the definitions given above after eq.(20), two bodies with the same $M_\odot$ do not necessarily have the same value M_V and, therefore, may lead to different values of G and γ . This is due to the fact that $M_\odot$ and M_V are defined as integrals over quantities related to $\phi = \phi(T)$, whose values depend on the structure and composition of the body. Obviously, the experimental evidence supporting the universality of G and the measurements of $\gamma \approx 1$ [16] indicate that $|M_V/M_\odot| \ll 1$. The only cases in which $M_V = 0$ correspond to GR and GR plus cosmological constant, i.e., those cases in which the lagrangian $f(R)$ is linear, or $V = V_0 = \text{constant}$. All nonlinear lagrangians predict a non trivial potential $V(\phi)$ and, therefore, a non vanishing M_V , which may give rise to the effects discussed above. Unfortunately, the fact that M_V is given as an integrated quantity does not allow us to place any explicit constraint on the form of the function $f(R)$. On the other hand, it is quite disturbing the fact that a body with Newtonian mass $M_N \equiv \int d^3x' \rho(t, x')$ may yield different values of $M_\odot$, G and γ depending on its internal properties. Stated another way, a given amount of Newtonian mass may lead to gravitational fields of different strengths and dynamical properties. Since, as far as we know, effects of this type have not been observed in laboratory, we expect a very weak dependence of $M_\odot$ on ϕ . This is equivalent to saying

that ϕ cannot change too much with the density.

It is worth noting that with the definitions given above for G and γ and neglecting the cosmological constant term, we can write for an isolated body $h_{00}^{(2)} \equiv 2U$, where U represents the Newtonian potential. It is thus easy to see that the term $(h_{00}^{(2)})^2/2$ of the complete post-Newtonian limit (see the Appendix) leads to the PPN parameter $\beta = 1$, like in GR. The remaining higher-order terms of the metric are all affected by ϕ .

B. $\Omega^{(2)}$ contribution

We will now analyze the effect of the term $\Omega^{(2)}$ that we omitted above in the case of an isolated massive body. As we pointed out, this term must be taken into account when a test body is placed within the gravitational field of another body. Thus, it must be present in any physical situation. Neglecting the cosmological constant contribution for simplicity, we can write the metric as follows

$$h_{00}^{(2)}(t, x) = 2U(r) + \Omega^{(2)}(T) \quad (22)$$

$$h_{ij}^{(2)}(t, x) = [2\gamma U(r) - \Omega^{(2)}(T)]\delta_{ij} \quad (23)$$

where $U(r) \equiv GM_\odot/r$ is the Newtonian potential generated by the massive body and $\Omega^{(2)}(T) = \log[\phi(T)/\phi_0]$ is a local term that depends on the matter density $T = -\rho$ at the point (t, x) , where our test body is located. First thing we need to note is that $\Omega^{(2)}(T)$ is a perturbative quantity of order $O(v^2/c^2) \ll 1$. The only manner to respect the perturbative approach is accepting that $\phi(T)$ depends very weakly on ρ , i.e., that $\phi(T)$ must be almost constant over a wide range of densities and can be well approximated by $\phi(T) = \phi_0 + (\partial\phi/\partial T)|_{T=0}T + \dots$, with $\phi_0^{-1}(\partial\phi/\partial T)|_{T=0}T \ll 1$ from $T = 0$ up to nuclear densities ($T = 10^{14} \text{g/cm}^3$) at least. The need for this expansion about ϕ_0 indicates that the lagrangian must be almost linear in R (recall that $\phi \equiv df/dR$). Furthermore, if $\phi(T)$ had a stronger dependence on T , individual atoms could experience strong accelerations due to sudden changes in $\Omega^{(2)}$ when going from outside atoms to inside atoms. Those individual microscopic gravitational effects would manifest in the macroscopic, averaged, description of matter. Since such effects have not been observed, they must be very small, if they actually exist. Thus, the weak dependence of ϕ on T within this wide density interval confirms that the contribution of the nonlinear terms to the lagrangian $f(R)$ must be very small. This conclusion agrees with our previous claims regarding the weak dependence of $M_\odot$, G and γ on $\phi(T)$.

Let us analyze in detail the dependence of ϕ on T . We will consider, as an illustration, the Newtonian limit of the conservation equations $\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ of a perfect fluid.

These equations lead to

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla(\rho \vec{v}) = 0 \quad (24)$$

$$\rho \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} = \rho \nabla \left(\frac{h_{00}^{(2)}}{2} \right) - \nabla P \quad (25)$$

where a modification with respect to the classical Euler equations is introduced by the term Ω contained in $h_{00}^{(2)}$. This modification is given by

$$\frac{\rho}{2} \nabla \Omega^{(2)} = -\frac{\rho}{2} \frac{(\partial \phi / \partial T)}{\phi} \nabla \rho \quad (26)$$

and requires that the condition

$$\left| \frac{\rho(\partial \phi / \partial T)}{\phi} \right| \ll 1 \quad (27)$$

be satisfied over the wide range of densities mentioned above in order to guarantee the validity of the macroscopic classical Euler equations. Note that eq.(27) must be true in general, since the contribution of $\Omega^{(2)}$ to the acceleration of a body is given in terms of $\nabla \Omega^{(2)}$. This constraint can be rewritten using eq.(12) to evaluate $\partial \phi / \partial T$ as follows

$$\left| \frac{(\kappa^2 \rho / \phi)}{(\phi V'' - V')} \right| \ll 1 \quad (28)$$

It is remarkable the fact that the denominator $[\phi V'' - V']$ in eq.(28) is the counterpart of the effective square mass $m_\phi^2 \equiv [\phi_0 V_0'' - V_0'] / [3 + 2\omega]$ associated to dynamical Brans-Dicke-like fields with $\omega \neq -3/2$ [6]. For our discussion it will be more convenient to see this effective mass as an inverse length defining the interaction range of the scalar field. We can thus interpret eq.(28) as the quotient of two lengthscales, one associated to the scalar field over another related to the mass density, $L^{-2}(\rho) \equiv (\kappa^2 \rho c / \phi_0)$. Eq.(28) can then be seen as the counterpart of the condition $m_\phi^2 L^2 \gg 1$ that corresponds to $\omega \neq -3/2$ theories. Written in terms of the lagrangian $f(R)$, eq.(28) turns into

$$R \tilde{f}'(R) \left| \frac{\tilde{f}'(R)}{R \tilde{f}''(R)} - 1 \right| L^2(\rho) \gg 1 \quad (29)$$

where $\tilde{f}' \equiv f' / f'_0 = \phi / \phi_0$. According to our interpretation of the denominator of eq.(28), we must demand that the multiplicative factor in front of $L^2(\rho)$ in eq.(29) satisfies

$$\left| \frac{\tilde{f}'(R)}{R \tilde{f}''(R)} - 1 \right| \geq \frac{1}{l^2 R \tilde{f}'} \quad (30)$$

where l^2 represents a lengthscale much smaller than $L^2(\rho)$ at nuclear densities, which is the shortest $L^2(\rho)$ that we can associate to ordinary matter. This inequality

will allow us to find out which lagrangians satisfy the condition given in eq.(29) at densities such that $L^2(\rho) \gg l^2$. Notice that the modulus of eq.(30) may lead to more than one solution. Let us consider first the case

$$\frac{\tilde{f}'(R)}{R \tilde{f}''(R)} - 1 \geq \frac{1}{l^2 R \tilde{f}'} \quad (31)$$

Defining $A \equiv 1 / (R \tilde{f}') > 0$, eq.(31) turns into

$$-\left[\frac{2 + \frac{R}{A} \frac{dA}{dR}}{1 + \frac{R}{A} \frac{dA}{dR}} \right] \geq \frac{A}{l^2} \quad (32)$$

Since the right hand side of this equation is positive, the left hand side must also be positive. This can only happen if the denominator is negative and the numerator is positive. In fact, if we denote $-\varepsilon \equiv 1 + \frac{R}{A} \frac{dA}{dR}$, eq.(32) can be written as $(1 - \varepsilon) / \varepsilon \geq A / l^2$. Thus, only if $\varepsilon < 1$ the condition of the sign can be satisfied. In addition, for a highly linear lagrangian we expect $A / l^2 \gg 1$. This leads to $\varepsilon \ll 1$, which is compatible with $\tilde{f}' \approx 1$. The sign and magnitude of the denominator indicate that $\tilde{f}''$ must be very small and positive. A little algebra is enough to show that

$$d \log[A(A + 2l^2)] \geq d \log\left[\frac{1}{R^2}\right] \quad (33)$$

Once integrated, the new inequality can be written as

$$(A - A_+)(A - A_-) \geq 0 \quad (34)$$

where an integration constant, c_0^2 , appears in

$$A_{\pm} = \frac{-l^2 R \pm \sqrt{c_0^2 + (l^2 R)^2}}{R} \quad (35)$$

Since A must be positive ($\phi \equiv f' > 0$ to have a well-posed theory), the only valid solution to eq.(34) is $A \geq A_+$, which implies

$$0 < \frac{df}{dR} \leq \sqrt{(f'_0)^2 + (l^2 R)^2} + l^2 R \quad (36)$$

where we have fixed $c_0 = f'_0$ to eliminate the tilde from $\tilde{f}'$. We can finally integrate this last inequality to obtain

$$f \leq \alpha + \frac{l^2 R^2}{2} + \frac{R}{2} \sqrt{(f'_0)^2 + (l^2 R)^2} + \frac{f'_0}{2l^2} \log[l^2 R + \sqrt{1 + (l^2 R)^2}] \quad (37)$$

Before commenting this result, let us consider the second inequality that follows from eq.(30)

$$\frac{\tilde{f}'(R)}{R \tilde{f}''(R)} - 1 \leq -\frac{1}{l^2 R \tilde{f}'} \quad (38)$$

Using again the function $A \equiv 1 / (R \tilde{f}')$, we obtain

$$\left[\frac{2 + \frac{R}{A} \frac{dA}{dR}}{1 + \frac{R}{A} \frac{dA}{dR}} \right] \geq \frac{A}{l^2} \quad (39)$$

This inequality can only be satisfied if $(1 + \frac{R}{A} \frac{dA}{dR}) > 0$, which corresponds to $\tilde{f}'' < 0$. Simple algebraic manipulations lead to

$$\frac{df}{dR} \geq \sqrt{(f'_0)^2 + (l^2 R)^2} - l^2 R \quad (40)$$

which integrated gives

$$f \geq \alpha - \frac{l^2 R^2}{2} + \frac{R}{2} \sqrt{(f'_0)^2 + (l^2 R)^2} + \frac{f'_0}{2l^2} \log[l^2 R + \sqrt{1 + (l^2 R)^2}] \quad (41)$$

Let us discuss now the significance of eqs.(37) and (41). The constant l^2 was introduced in eq.(30) to represent the length scale over which the nonlinear contributions of the gravity lagrangian were relevant. For a given l^2 , the nonlinear effects will begin to be important about a certain high density scale at which $L^2(\rho)/l^2 \sim 1$. If, for instance, we take $l^2 = 0$, the nonlinear effects would be completely suppressed, since then eq.(29) would be satisfied at all densities. The choice $l^2 = 0$ forces the lagrangian to be linear, which can be seen from eqs.(37) and (41) in the limit $l^2 \rightarrow 0$. This limit also indicates that the constant f'_0 can be naturally set to unity. On the other hand, if the nonlinear terms were relevant at low cosmic curvatures, the lengthscale l would be of order the radius of the universe and, therefore, the nonlinear effects would dominate the gravitational dynamics at all scales. This fact is obviously in contradiction with our experience, as we have discussed in detail throughout this work.

Though the inequalities derived above are only strictly valid in the limit of relatively low curvatures, $l^2 R \ll 1$ (far from the early-time inflationary period), eqs.(37) and (41) not only estimate the leading order of the possible nonlinear corrections, but give precise functions that bound the nonlinearities of the gravity lagrangian in this limit. Expanding around $l^2 R \ll 1$ we find

$$\alpha + R - \frac{l^2 R^2}{2} \leq f(R) \leq \alpha + R + \frac{l^2 R^2}{2} \quad (42)$$

which confirms that the lagrangian is almost linear in R and that the leading order corrections can grow, as much, quadratically in R .

C. On the Newtonian limit

Before concluding, we will briefly discuss the Newtonian limit obtained in [10] and [11]. As we mentioned above, the expansion around the vacuum carried out in those papers is not valid a priori. We have shown, however, that a viable theory must admit such expansion because of the experimental evidence supporting the weak dependence of ϕ on T . This conclusion, in our case, came out after analyzing the predictions of the theory. In [10] and [11], the expansion was due to an apparent

failure to correctly identify the matter terms and the geometrical terms. In any case, expanding $\Omega^{(2)}(T)$ around $T = 0$ we reproduce the term $A\rho(x)$ obtained in [11]. This term, however, is not present in [10]. On the other hand, a Yukawa-type exponential correction in the Newtonian potential was found in [10] and [11], which is not present in our calculations. In the case of a dynamical field satisfying a second-order differential equation, a term of this type is expected to be related to the interaction range of the field. In the Palatini case, the field is non-dynamical and, therefore, there is no reason for such a term. Moreover, assuming spherical symmetry, the Palatini equations admit exact Schwarzschild-de Sitter solutions $ds^2 = -A(r)dt^2 + dr^2/A(r) + r^2 d\Omega^2$ with $A(R) = 1 - \alpha/r + \Lambda r^2/3$. The effect of the asymptotic background curvature is given by the $\Lambda r^2/3$ term, which has the same form as the cosmological constant term $(V_0/\phi_0)r^2$ that appears in our eqs.(15) and (16). Thus, there is no reason to expect an exponential correction related to the background curvature. The error seems to be due to a failure in the identification of the leading-order contribution of the term $(Rf' - f)g_{\mu\nu}/f'$. In our case, this term is represented by $(V/\phi)g_{\mu\nu}$, and its leading order is $(V/\phi)\eta_{\mu\nu}$ not $(V/\phi)h_{\mu\nu}$, which could justify the Yukawa-type correction for $V/\phi = \text{constant}$.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have computed the complete post-Newtonian metric of the Palatini form of $f(R)$ gravities using a scalar-tensor representation of those theories, which corresponds to the case $\omega = -3/2$ of Brans-Dicke-like scalar-tensor theories. We have also discussed the experimental constraints on the lowest-order corrections of the resulting metric. We have found that the presence of nonlinear terms in the gravity lagrangian makes the post-Newtonian metric strongly dependent on the local properties of the gravitating system. In particular, the effective gravitational mass $M_\odot$, Newton's constant G and the PPN parameter γ of an isolated body may depend on the internal structure and composition of the body. This follows from the fact that those quantities are given in terms of integrals that depend on $V(\phi)$ and ϕ , which are functions of the trace T . Since those predictions are not compatible with observations, we have concluded that $\phi(T)$ should depend very weakly on T . This point has been confirmed by the requirement that the contribution $\Omega^{(2)}(T)$ should be much smaller than unity in order to respect the perturbative approach. Analyzing the effect of the $\Omega^{(2)}(T)$ term in the Newtonian limit, we have found that the nonlinear corrections at relatively low curvatures ($l^2 R \ll 1$) are bounded by eqs.(37) and (41), which are compatible with an almost linear lagrangian. These results show that $f(R)$ gravities in the Palatini formalism with nonlinear terms that grow at low curvatures, such as the Carroll et al. model [3] suggested in [4], cannot represent valid mechanisms to justify the cosmic

acceleration. Nonetheless, Palatini models in which the nonlinear terms are suppressed below the limits imposed by eqs.(37) and (41), may still be compatible with observations, though they lead to a theory virtually undistinguishable from General Relativity plus a cosmological constant.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Prof. Leonard Parker and Prof. José Navarro-Salas for their always bright comments and insights. I also thank O. Varela, M. Nebot and J.A. Sans for useful discussions. This work has been supported by a fellowship from the Regional Government of Valencia (Spain) and the research grant BFM2002-04031-C02-01 from the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (Spain), and could not have been carried out without the comprehension of Sonia G.B.

APPENDIX A: DETAILED CALCULATIONS

The equations of motion for the metric in Brans-Dicke-like theories are given by

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\kappa^2}{\phi} \left[T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} T \right] + \frac{\omega}{\phi^2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi + \frac{1}{\phi} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi + \frac{1}{2\phi} g_{\mu\nu} [\Box \phi + V(\phi)] \quad (\text{A1})$$

The expansion of the different components of $R_{\mu\nu}$ can be found in the Appendix of [6]. We will just remark here that in the case $\omega = -3/2$ the scalar field cannot be expanded in the same manner as in the general case $\omega \neq -3/2$, since now the field is non-dynamical and is completely determined by the matter distribution of the local system, $\phi = \phi(T)$. In the general case, however, the field is a dynamical entity whose state is determined by the Universe as a whole. The post-Newtonian system only contributes with local fluctuations from the background asymptotic state. In the $\omega = -3/2$ case, due to the fact that $T = -\rho(1 + \Pi - 3P/\rho) \approx -\rho + \rho O(v^2)$, we could expand $\phi(T) \approx \phi(-\rho) + \partial_T \phi \rho O(v^2)$, though this seems an unnecessary complication. We will keep all ϕ terms exact in our calculations and will expand them at the end up to the necessary order. According to this, $\tau_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{\kappa^2}{\phi} [T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} T]$ is given by

$$\tau_{ij} = \frac{\kappa^2 \rho}{2\phi} \delta_{ij} + \rho O(v^2) \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$\tau_{0j} = -\frac{\kappa^2}{\phi} \rho v_j + \rho O(v^3) \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$\tau_{00} = \frac{\kappa^2 \rho}{2\phi} \left[1 + \Pi + 2v^2 - h_{00}^{(2)} + \frac{3P}{\rho} \right] + \rho O(v^4) \quad (\text{A4})$$

The contribution coming from the scalar field terms can be written as

$$\tau_{\mu\nu}^\phi = (\omega+1) \partial_\mu \Omega \partial_\nu \Omega + \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \Omega + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \left[\frac{V}{\phi} + \Box \Omega + \partial_\lambda \Omega \partial^\lambda \Omega \right] \quad (\text{A5})$$

where $\Omega \equiv \log(\phi/\phi_0)$ and ϕ_0 is an arbitrary constant that may be fixed as $\phi_0 = \phi(T=0)$. The components of $\tau_{\mu\nu}^\phi$ are

$$\tau_{ij}^\phi = \partial_i \partial_j \Omega + \frac{\delta_{ij}}{2} \left[\frac{V}{\phi} + \nabla^2 \Omega \right] \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$\tau_{0j}^\phi = \partial_0 \partial_j \Omega \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{00}^\phi &= \frac{3}{2} \ddot{\Omega} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_k \Omega \partial^k h_{00}^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 \Omega + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left[h_{k,\mu}^\mu - \frac{1}{2} h_{\mu,k}^\mu - \partial_k \Omega \right] \partial^k \Omega - \\ &- \frac{V}{2\phi} (1 - h_{00}^{(2)}) + \frac{h^{ij}}{2} \partial_i \partial_j \Omega + \frac{1}{2} h_{00}^{(2)} \nabla^2 \Omega \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A8})$$

where we assume $\Omega \sim O(v^2)$ at least to guarantee h_{ij} diagonal and a consistent post-Newtonian expansion.

Equating the left hand side of eq.(A1) to its right hand side, a little algebra leads to

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 [h_{ij}^{(2)} + \delta_{ij} \Omega] &+ \frac{1}{2} \partial_i \left[h_{j,\mu}^\mu - \frac{1}{2} h_{\mu,j}^\mu - \partial_j \Omega \right] + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \partial_j \left[h_{i,\mu}^\mu - \frac{1}{2} h_{\mu,i}^\mu - \partial_i \Omega \right] = \\ &= \frac{\delta_{ij}}{2\phi} [\kappa^2 \rho + V(\phi)] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A9})$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 h_{0j}^{(3)} &+ \frac{1}{2} \partial_j \left[h_{0,\mu}^\mu - \frac{1}{2} h_{\mu,0}^\mu - \partial_0 \Omega \right] + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \partial_0 \left[h_{j,\mu}^\mu - \frac{1}{2} h_{\mu,j}^\mu - \partial_j \Omega \right] = \\ &= -\frac{\kappa^2}{\phi} \rho v_j \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10})$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 \left[h_{00}^{(4)} + \frac{(h_{00}^{(2)})^2}{2} \right] &+ \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 \Omega + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \partial_0 \left[h_{0,\mu}^\mu - \frac{1}{2} h_{\mu,0}^\mu - \partial_0 \Omega + \frac{1}{2} h_{00,0}^{(2)} \right] + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left[h_{k,\mu}^\mu - \frac{1}{2} h_{\mu,k}^\mu \right] \partial^k h_{00}^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2} h_{00}^{(2)} \nabla^2 [h_{00}^{(2)} - \Omega] = \\ &= \frac{\kappa^2 \rho}{2\phi} \left[1 + \Pi + 2v^2 - h_{00}^{(2)} + \frac{3P}{\rho} \right] + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left[h_{k,\mu}^\mu - \frac{1}{2} h_{\mu,k}^\mu - \partial_k \Omega \right] \partial^k \Omega + \\ &+ \frac{\ddot{\Omega}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_k \Omega \partial^k h_{00}^{(2)} - \frac{V}{2\phi} (1 - h_{00}^{(2)}) + \\ &+ \frac{h^{ij}}{2} \partial_i \partial_j [h_{00}^{(2)} - \Omega] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A11})$$

Using the gauge conditions

$$h_{k,\mu}^\mu - \frac{1}{2}h_{\mu,k}^\mu = \partial_k \Omega \quad (\text{A12})$$

$$h_{0,\mu}^\mu - \frac{1}{2}h_{\mu,0}^\mu = \partial_0 \Omega - \frac{1}{2}h_{00,0}^{(2)} \quad (\text{A13})$$

the equations of above become

$$-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2[h_{ij}^{(2)} + \delta_{ij}\Omega] = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{2\phi}[\kappa^2\rho + V(\phi)] \quad (\text{A14})$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 h_{0j}^{(3)} - \frac{1}{4}h_{00,0j}^{(2)} = -\frac{\kappa^2}{\phi}\rho v_j \quad (\text{A15})$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 \left[h_{00}^{(4)} - \Omega + \frac{(h_{00}^{(2)})^2}{2} \right] &= \frac{\kappa^2\rho}{2\phi} [1 + \Pi + 2v^2 + \\ &+ h_{[ij]}^{(2)} + \frac{3P}{\rho}] + \frac{\ddot{\Omega}}{2} - \\ &- \frac{V}{2\phi}(1 + h_{[ij]}^{(2)}) \quad (\text{A16}) \end{aligned}$$

where $h_{[ij]}$ denotes the ij -component of $h_{ij}^{(2)}$ and we have used that, to second order, $h_{00}^{(2)}$ satisfies

$$-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 [h_{00}^{(2)} - \Omega] = \frac{1}{2\phi}[\kappa^2\rho - V(\phi)] \quad (\text{A17})$$

post-Newtonian corrections to the metric are thus given

by (we denote $\tilde{\phi} \equiv \phi/\phi_0$)

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ij}^{(2)}(t, x) &= \left[\frac{\kappa^2}{4\pi\phi_0} \int d^3x' \frac{[\rho(t, x') + V(\phi)/\kappa^2]}{\tilde{\phi}|x - x'|} - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \Omega^{(2)} \right] \delta_{ij} \quad (\text{A18}) \end{aligned}$$

$$h_{00}^{(2)}(t, x) = \frac{\kappa^2}{4\pi\phi_0} \int d^3x' \frac{[\rho(t, x') - V(\phi)/\kappa^2]}{\tilde{\phi}|x - x'|} + \Omega^{(2)} \quad (\text{A19})$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_{0j}^{(3)}(t, x) &= -\frac{\kappa^2}{2\pi\phi_0} \int d^3x' \frac{\rho(t, x')v_j'}{\tilde{\phi}|x - x'|} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^3x' \frac{h_{00,0j}^{(2)}(t, x')}{|x - x'|} \quad (\text{A20}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_{00}^{(4)}(t, x) &= \frac{\kappa^2}{4\pi\phi_0} \int d^3x' \frac{\rho(t, x')}{\tilde{\phi}|x - x'|} [1 + \Pi + 2v^2 + \\ &+ h_{[ij]}^{(2)} + 3P/\rho] - \\ &- \frac{\kappa^2}{4\pi\phi_0} \int d^3x' \frac{V(\phi) [1 + h_{[ij]}^{(2)}] + \ddot{\Omega}^{(2)}/2}{\tilde{\phi}|x - x'|} - \\ &- \frac{(h_{00}^{(2)})^2}{2} + \Omega^{(4)} \quad (\text{A21}) \end{aligned}$$

-
- [1] J.L.Tonry, et al., *Ap. J* 594, 1 (2003)
 - [2] R.A.Knop et al., *Ap. J*, in press (2003); astro-ph/0309368
 - [3] S.M.Carroll, V.Duvvuri, M.Trodden and M.S.Turner, *Phys.Rev.* **D70** (2004) 043528, astro-ph/0306438
 - [4] Dan N. Vollick, *Phys.Rev.* **D68** (2003) 063510, astro-ph/0306630
 - [5] S. Capozziello, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D*, 11, 483, 2002
 - [6] Gonzalo J.Olmo, *Post-Newtonian constraints on f(R) cosmologies in metric formalism*, gr-qc/0505135
 - [7] S. Capozziello, V. F. Cardone, S. Carloni, A. Troisi, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.***D12** (2003) 1969-1982; S. Capozziello, V.F. Cardone, M. Francaviglia, astro-ph/0410135
 - [8] X.H.Meng and P.Wang, astro-ph/0307354
 - [9] D.E. Barraco, R.Guibert, V.H. Hamity and H.Vucetich, *Gen.Rel.Grav.* **28**, (1996) 339
 - [10] X.H.Meng and P.Wang, *Gen.Rel.Grav.* **36**, (2004) 1947
 - [11] A.E.Dominguez and D.E.Barraco, *Phys.Rev.***D70** (2004) 043505, gr-qc/0408069
 - [12] C.W.Misner, S.Thorne, and J.A.Wheeler, *Gravitation*, W.H.Freeman and Co., NY, 1973.
 - [13] Gonzalo J.Olmo, *The gravity lagrangian according to solar system experiments*, gr-qc/0505101
 - [14] Clifford M.Will, *Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1993
 - [15] R.V.Wagoner, *Phys.Rev.* **D 1**, 3209-16 (1970)
 - [16] Clifford M. Will, *Living Rev.Rel.* 4 (2001) 4, gr-qc/0103036